

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/902,250	07/10/2001	Gerald T. Mearini	0937.0016	9551	
7:	590 04/30/2004		EXAMINER		
D. Joseph Eng	seph English, Esquire FULLER, ERIC B			ERIC B	
Duane Morris I	LLP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1667 K Street, 1	NW			PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 700			1762		
Washington, D	OC 20006		DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	14/			
	09/902,250	MEARINI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eric B Fuller	1762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day; will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commur D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status						
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 No. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		rits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-19,21 and 22 is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.						
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 September 2001 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objection of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing (s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.	.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:)			

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-10 and 20 are drawn to a method, classified in class 427, subclass 561.
- II. Claims 11-18, 21, and 22 are drawn to an apparatus, classified in class118, subclass 715.
- III. Claim 19, drawn to a product, classified in class 438, subclass various.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus may be used to perform a materially different process, such as an etching process.

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, a process where the evaporator is fixed at a source deposition location would be able to produce the product.

Art Unit: 1762

Inventions II and III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case, an apparatus where the evaporator is fixed at a source deposition location would be able to the product.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II and III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In a response from D. Joseph English on November 29, 2003 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-10 and 20. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 11-19, 21, and 22 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 1762

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Drawings

Figures 1A and 1B should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1, 5, and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the disclosed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks utility. The claims require that the deposition be ceased prior to achieving target thickness, yet claims that the target thickness is achieved. This is not operable, as it is not possible to achieve the target thickness when deposition has been stopped prior to the target thickness being achieved. If deposition has been stopped, then by definition the thickness of the film does not increase. Possibly, the applicant intends to cease the evaporation of coating material and not the deposition or has omitted the essential step of restarting the deposition. Regardless, as the claims read now, the invention is inoperable.

Art Unit: 1762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 5, and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification does not teach how it is possible to achieve target thickness when the deposition has been stopped.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 5, and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

These claims are confusing for the reasons indicated above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1762

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2, 3, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Debley et al. (US 5,529,671).

Debley teaches providing multiple substrates (column 8, lines 1-2; figure 4, refs. 63 and 64), a fixed ion source (column 7, lines 60-65; figure 4, ref. 19), a selectively movable target (evaporator) that is positioned at a source deposition location (figure 4, ref. 51), and material is deposited on the substrates. The shutters are taught (figure 4, line 20). Multiple targets that are moved into the source deposition location are taught (column 8, lines 9-29; figure 4, refs. 51' and 51").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Debley et al. (US 5,529,671), as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Kelley et al. (US 4,101,925).

Debley teaches the limitations of claim 3, as shown above, but fails to explicitly teach rotating the substrate. However, Kelley teaches that the speed at which the substrate is rotated is significant in achieving thin uniform layers (column 3, lines 58-65).

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/902,250

Art Unit: 1762

Speeds within the applicant's claims are taught. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to rotate the substrates of Debley by the speeds taught by Kelley. By doing so, one would reap the benefits of achieving uniform films.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric B Fuller whose telephone number is (571) 272-1420. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P Beck, can be reached on (571) 272-1415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kul